UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: XARELTO (RIVAROXABAN) PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2592

TRANSFER ORDER

Before the Panel:* Plaintiffs in the actions listed on Schedule A move under Panel Rule 7.1 to vacate our orders conditionally transferring their actions to MDL No. 2592. Defendants oppose the motions to vacate and support transfer.¹

After considering the argument of counsel, we find that these actions share common questions of fact with the actions previously transferred to MDL No. 2592, and that transfer under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 will serve the convenience of the parties and witnesses and promote the just and efficient conduct of this litigation. Plaintiffs do not dispute that their actions share questions of fact with MDL No. 2592. Like many of the already-centralized actions, these actions involve factual questions arising from allegations that Xarelto causes severe bleeding and other injuries and that defendants did not adequately warn prescribing physicians or consumers of the risks associated with Xarelto. See In re: Xarelto (Rivaroxaban) Prods. Liab. Litig., 65 F. Supp. 3d 1402 (J.P.M.L. 2014).

In support of the motions to vacate, plaintiffs argue that their actions were improperly removed and their motions for remand to state court are pending. The Panel often has held that jurisdictional issues do not present an impediment to transfer, as plaintiffs can present such arguments to the transferee judge.² *See, e.g., In re: Prudential Ins. Co. of Am. Sales Practices Litig.*, 170 F. Supp. 2d 1346, 1347-48 (J.P.M.L. 2001).

^{*} Judge Marjorie O. Rendell and Judge Lewis A. Kaplan took no part in the decision of this matter.

¹ Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., Bayer HealthCare LLC, Bayer Corporation, Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Janssen Research & Development, LLC, and Janssen Ortho LLC.

² Moreover, under Panel Rule 2.1(d), the pendency of a conditional transfer order does not limit the pretrial jurisdiction of the court in which the subject action is pending. Between the date a remand motion is filed and the date that transfer of the action to the MDL is finalized, a court generally has adequate time to rule on a remand motion if it chooses to do so.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the actions listed on Schedule A are transferred to the Eastern District of Louisiana and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Eldon E. Fallon for inclusion in the coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings.

PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

Sarah S. Vance

Chair

Charles R. Breyer Ellen Segal Huvelle R. David Proctor Catherine D. Perry

IN RE: XARELTO (RIVAROXABAN) PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2592

SCHEDULE A

Eastern District of Missouri

- APPEL, ET AL. v. JANSSEN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:15-01198
- GLENN, ET AL. v. JANSSEN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:15-01341
- BOOKER, ET AL. v. JANSSEN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:15-01346